IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

MATCH GROUP, INC., a corporation, and MATCH GROUP, LLC, formerly known as MATCH.COM, LLC, a limited liability company,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:19-cy-02281-K

JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINES AND CONTINUE TRIAL DATE

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4) and the Court's Scheduling Order, Doc. 100, Plaintiff and Defendants respectfully file this Joint Motion to Extend Deadlines and Continue Trial Date (the "Joint Motion"). The Court previously indicated that the parties "may move for extensions of specific deadlines arguably affected by any ruling or delay, and such motion must demonstrate the required good cause," noting pending discovery disputes before United States Magistrate Judge Ramirez. Doc. 157. As explained in this Joint Motion, good cause exists to extend the deadlines set forth in the Scheduling Order, in light of Judge Ramirez's recent decision on a discovery dispute between the parties in which she overruled Match Group, Inc.'s objection to producing documents on the basis of the practices in Counts III and IV being permanently discontinued. Doc. 164. Further, there are exigent circumstances to continue the trial setting because doing so is necessary to maintain at least 120 days between the summary judgment deadline (if extended as requested) and the trial setting.

II. ARGUMENT

A. Good Cause Exists to Extend the Deadlines Set Forth in the Scheduling Order Following Judge Ramirez's Recent Ruling on a Discovery Dispute

There is good cause to extend the deadlines as requested in this Joint Motion, as the Joint Motion follows a discovery ruling that resolves a longstanding discovery dispute and seeks to continue deadlines by only a modest extension. A substantial amount of discovery has already occurred in this matter, and the parties do not anticipate requiring additional extensions or continuances. However, as discussed below, the January 6, 2023, deadline to complete all discovery will not allow the parties to complete all fact and expert discovery or allow the Court to resolve the other remaining discovery motions.

The parties have fundamental disputes about the scope of discovery, resulting in several discovery motions, hampering the parties' ability to meet the deadlines prescribed by the Scheduling Order to complete all discovery and subsequent deadlines. *See* Doc. 144, 147, and 158. Although Judge Ramirez recently resolved one of those motions on November 1, 2022, Doc. 164, Defendants will need additional time to identify documents and information to comply with that order. Additionally, Defendants' motion to compel remains pending, Doc. 144, and may likewise result in the need for additional discovery.

Due to the expansion of discovery resulting from the recent order, an eight-week extension to the deadlines to complete all discovery, file all dispositive motions (including motions for summary judgment), and file motions challenging or seeking to disqualify expert witnesses set by the Court's Scheduling Order is necessary both to allow Defendants sufficient time to prepare their productions and to allow Plaintiff time to use materials from those productions as the basis for expert analysis and deposition discovery. Because of the additional

time needed by both parties, good cause exists for modifying the existing deadlines to complete all discovery, file all dispositive motions (including motions for summary judgment), and file motions challenging or seeking to disqualify expert witnesses.

B. Exigent Circumstances Exist to Continue the Trial Setting Following the Necessary Extension of Discovery, Dispositive Motion, and Daubert Motion Deadlines and to Permit the Parties to File Summary Judgment Motions 120 Days Before Trial

To accommodate the proposed eight-week extension of deadlines to complete all discovery, file all dispositive motions (including motions for summary judgment), and file motions challenging or seeking to disqualify expert witnesses, the parties further respectfully request a continuance of the trial setting. The exceptional circumstances in this matter necessitate such a continuance, as detailed below:

- The parties have filed four motions regarding a number of discovery issues. See
 Doc. 133, 140, 152, and 160. Only one such motion has been resolved. The
 remainder are still before Magistrate Judge Ramirez,¹ and the parties are still
 meeting and conferring on several outstanding discovery issues;
- 2. The parties agree that the discovery disputes and expansion of discovery resulting from their resolution have caused delay necessitating an eight-week extension of the deadlines to complete all discovery, file all dispositive motions (including motions for summary judgment), and file motions challenging or seeking to disqualify expert witnesses;

¹ Defendants filed notices, Doc. 168-69, withdrawing two of the motions, Doc. 152, 160, following Plaintiff's agreement to withdraw third-party subpoenas and deposition notices.

- 3. Given the Court's preference that motions for summary judgment be filed at least 120 days before trial, the extension needed to complete all fact and expert discovery and then brief and file motions for summary judgment also requires that the trial setting be postponed by a modest amount. While 120 days after the parties' proposed deadline to file motions for summary judgment would be August 1, 2023, Defendants' counsel are unavailable for trial during the month of August and first two weeks of September due to other preexisting conflicts. Furthermore, while the parties could be amenable to a trial setting in mid-September, because the Court previously asked the parties to "propose a trial date which corresponds with the first Monday of the agreed upon month," Doc. 89, the parties have proposed the first Monday of October, which is October 2, 2023; and
- 4. An extension of the deadlines to complete all fact and expert discovery, file all dispositive motions, and file motions challenging or seeking to disqualify expert witnesses will allow the parties to resolve several discovery issues among themselves, eliminating the need for the Court to resolve those disputes, which would conserve judicial resources. Such an extension also will provide the parties an opportunity to file dispositive motions over 120 days before trial, which may eliminate the need for the Court to resolve certain disputes at trial or even eliminate the need for trial altogether.

Therefore, the parties believe that the short continuance should provide sufficient time to complete discovery, file dispositive motions and motions challenging or seeking to disqualify expert witnesses, and prepare for trial. The parties do not anticipate that any further extensions

will be necessary. Given these circumstances, good cause and exigent circumstances exist for continuing the trial setting to October 2023.

Accordingly, the parties respectfully propose the following deadlines for this matter:

Description	Current Deadline	Parties' Proposed Deadlines
File a written designation of the name and address of each expert witness who will testify at trial, otherwise complying with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)	November 4, 2022	December 30, 2022
Complete all discovery, including discovery concerning expert witnesses	January 6, 2023	March 3, 2023
File all motions that would dispose of all or any part of this case (including all motions for summary judgment)	February 6, 2023	April 3, 2023
File motions challenging or seeking to disqualify expert witnesses (e.g., <i>Daubert</i> motions)	February 6, 2023	April 3, 2023
Make the disclosure required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3)(A)-(B)	May 1, 2023	August 28, 2023
File all pretrial material pretrial material with the Court, including those identified in paragraph 11 of the Court's April 28, 2022 Scheduling Order, Doc. 100.	May 15, 2023	September 11, 2023
Bench trial	June 5, 2023	October 2, 2023

III. CONCLUSION AND REQUESTED RELIEF

For these reasons, the parties respectfully request that the Court extend all deadlines by eight weeks so that the parties have sufficient time to complete discovery following Judge Ramirez's November 1, 2022 Order, Doc. 164, file all dispositive motions (including motions for summary judgment), and file motions challenging or seeking to disqualify expert witnesses. The

parties further respectfully request that the Court continue the trial setting until October 2, 2023, so that the parties are able to file dispositive motions after the close of discovery and at least 120 days before their requested trial setting.

Dated: November 7, 2022

/s/ Angela Zambrano

Angela C. Zambrano State Bar No. 24003157 angela.zambrano@sidley.com

Chelsea A. Priest State Bar No. 24102375 cpriest@sidley.com Tayler G. Bragg

State Bar No. 24109943 tbragg@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

2021 McKinney Ave, Suite 2000

Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: 214.981.3300

Fax: 214.981.3400

Chad S. Hummel (admitted pro hac vice)

chummel@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

1999 Avenue of the Stars, 17th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: 310.595.9500

Fax: 310.595.9501

Attorneys for Match Group, Inc. and

Match Group, LLC

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Reid Tepfer

M. Hasan Aijaz Reid Tepfer

Erica Rollins Hilliard

Sarah Zuckerman (admitted *pro hac vice*) John R. O'Gorman (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Virginia Bar No. 80073 (Aijaz) Texas Bar No. 24079444 (Tepfer) Mississippi Bar No. 104244 (Hilliard) New York Bar No. 5603832 (Zuckerman)

Texas Bar No. 24121292 (O'Gorman) Federal Trade Commission

1999 Bryan St. Ste. 2150

Dallas, Texas 75201

T: (214) 979-9386 (Aijaz) T: (214) 979-9395 (Tepfer)

T: (214) 979-9393 (Tepler)
T: (214) 979-9379 (Hilliard)

T: (214) 979-9376 (Zuckerman)

T: (214) 979-9382 (O'Gorman)

F: (214) 953-3079 maijaz@ftc.gov;

rtepfer@ftc.gov;

ehilliard@ftc.gov szuckerman@ftc.gov;

jogorman@ftc.gov

Attorneys for the FTC

/s/ Jeanette Teckman

Jeanette Teckman

State Bar No. 24008216

SVP, Associate General Counsel - Litigation & IP

8750 North Central Expressway, Suite 1400

Dallas, TX 75231

Telephone: 214-576-9328

Email: jeanette.teckman@match.com

Moving Party (Match Group, Inc. and Match Group, LLC)